

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning  
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

**BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ **BLACK BORDERS**
- ☐ **IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- ☐ **FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- ☐ **COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- ☐ **GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- ☐ **LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- ☐ **REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- ☐ **OTHER:** \_\_\_\_\_

**IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.**

**As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.**



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/780,979	02/09/2001	J. Howard Smith	10521-4	2249
31824	7590	08/24/2004	EXAMINER	
MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP 18191 VON KARMAN AVE. IRVINE, CA 92612-7107			SHAH, CHIRAG G	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2664	

DATE MAILED: 08/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/780,979	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SMITH ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Chirag G Shah	<b>Art Unit</b> 2664	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 July 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-34 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/9/01 and 7/18/01</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Specification*

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the serial number and any status updates for the application referenced on page 1 of the specification must be provided. Appropriate correction is required.

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1-7, 9 and 16-19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jundt et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,618,630), hereinafter, Jundt.

Referring to claims 1, 16 and 19, Jundt discloses in figure 1 of a communication controller 12 comprising: a memory circuit [as disclosed in figure 1 and in column 4, lines 41 to 45];

a processor operable in response to data and instructions stored in the memory circuit [as disclosed in column 4, lines 41 to 45];

a first communication circuit [first of the plurality of I/O cards 22; the controller 12 communicates with the field devices 18 via any desired or standard I/O Cards 22, the I/O cards

Art Unit: 2664

22 may be analog I/O cards that connect the controller 12 to the field devices 18 may be digital or combined digital and analog and analog I/O cards that communicate using any desired format or protocols as disclosed in column 4, lines 41 to column 5, lines 18] under control of the processor 30 for communicating between the communication controller 12 and a first remote device 18 according to a first data communication standard [any desired format such as HART, PROFIBUS, WORLDFIP, DeviceNet and CAN; as disclosed in figure 1, claim 1, and column 4, lines 5 to column 5, lines 18]; and

a second communication circuit [second of the plurality of I/O cards 22] under control of the processor 30 for communicating between communication controller 12 and a second remote device [second one of the plurality of field device 18 as in figure 1] according to a second data communication standard [any desired format such as HART, PROFIBUS, WORLDFIP, DeviceNet and CAN; as disclosed in figure 1, claim 1, and column 4, lines 5 to column 5, lines 18], the second data communication standard being different from the first data communication standard [as disclosed in column 4, lines 5 to column 5, lines 18, any desired format may be used for communication between the controller 12 and device 18, thus first may use PROFIBUS and second may use CAN] as claim.

Referring to claim 2, Jundt discloses wherein the memory circuit, the processor, the first communication circuit and the second communication circuit are integrated in a single integrated circuit [see figure 1 and column 3, lines 56 to column 4, lines 66] as claim.

Referring to claims 3, 4, 17 and 18, Jundt discloses wherein the first communication circuit comprises a ProfiBus or CAN circuit controller for external communication according to ProfiBus or CAN communication protocol [see figure 1 and column 4, lines 41 to 66, the

Art Unit: 2664

controller 12 communicates with the field devices 18 via any desired or standard I/O cards 22, the first of the plurality of I/O card 22 may communicate with field device using PROFIBUS or CAN] as claims.

Referring to claims 5, where in the second communication circuit comprises an Ethernet bus controller [as in figure 1 and column 3, lines 56 to column 4, lines 66] as claim.

Referring to claims 6 and 7, Jundt discloses wherein the second circuit comprises the CAN bus controller having a logic circuit configured to receive and transmit data according to the CAN standard [see figure 1, column 4, lines 5-66] as claim.

Referring to claim 9, Jundt disclose of an Ethernet bus controller under control of the processor for communicating between the communication controller and a third remote device according to Ethernet data communication standard [see figure 1 and column 3, lines 56 to column 4, lines 4 and column 4, lines 41-66] as claim.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claim 8, 10-15, and 20-34 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jundt in view of FC-1 Data Sheet (Draft Revision B-7/31/00).

Referring to claim 21, Jundt disclose an integrated circuit comprising: a processor block which controls operation of the integrated circuit [figure 1 and column 3, lines 56 to column 4, lines 66];

a memory block which stores data and instructions for use by the processor block [as disclosed in figure 1 and in column 4, lines 41 to 45];

a first data communication port [first of the plurality of I/O cards 22; the controller 12 communicates with the field devices 18 via any desired or standard I/O Cards 22, the I/O cards 22 may be analog I/O cards that connect the controller 12 to the field devices 18 may be digital or combined digital and analog and analog I/O cards that communicate using any desired format or protocols as disclosed in column 4, lines 41 to column 5, lines 18];

a ProfiBus control block coupled with the first data communication port [any desired format such as HART, PROFIBUS, WORLDFIP, DeviceNet and CAN; as disclosed in figure 1, claim 1, and column 4, lines 5 to column 5, lines 18];

a second data communication port [second of the plurality of I/O cards 22]; a Controller Area Network (CAN) control block coupled with the second data communication port [any desired format such as HART, PROFIBUS, WORLDFIP, DeviceNet and CAN; as disclosed in figure 1, claim 1, and column 4, lines 5 to column 5, lines 18]; and

Jundt discloses in column 4, lines 41-45 that the controller includes memory and processor that executes the process control solution and that controller communicates with the field device via CAN or any desired standard I/O device 22, but fails to explicitly disclose of an internal bus coupling the processor block, the memory block the Profibus control block and the CAN control block. FC-1 Data Sheet discloses in figure 1 and respective portion of the

specification of a controller having a processor that communicates via the internal bus with a memory and with the I/O port. The memory holds a control program for the controlled process, an operating system, and programming for execution. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include the teachings of communication within the controller via an internal bus as taught by FC-1 Data Sheet into Jundt's invention in order to make communication within devices/circuits of the controller executable.

Referring to claim 24, Jundt discloses in figure 1 of a ProfiBus controller 12 comprising:  
a ProfiBus core [first of the plurality of I/O cards 22; the controller 12 communicates with the field devices 18 via any desired or standard I/O Cards 22, the I/O cards 22 may be analog I/O cards that connect the controller 12 to the field devices 18 may be digital or combined digital and analog and analog I/O cards that communicate using any desired format or protocols as disclosed in column 4, lines 41 to column 5, lines 18];

a processor [as disclosed in column 4, lines 41 to 45];

a memory [as disclosed in figure 1 and in column 4, lines 41 to 45];;

at least one control circuit which controls wireline data communication according to a standard other than ProfiBus standard [the controller 12 communicates with the field devices 18 via any desired or standard I/O Cards 22, the I/O cards 22 may be analog I/O cards that connect the controller 12 to the field devices 18 may be digital or combined digital and analog and analog I/O cards that communicate using any desired format or protocols as disclosed in column 4, lines 41 to column 5, lines 18 and further more control circuit controls wireline data communication to field device 18 in any desired format such as HART, PROFIBUS, WORLDFIP, DeviceNet and



CAN as disclosed in figure 1, claim 1, and column 4, lines 5 to column 5, lines 18] as claim.

Jundt discloses in figure 1 and respective portions of the specification that controller 12 may communicate using ProfiBus standard, but fails to explicitly disclose of an internal bus for internal data communications within the ProfiBus controller. FC-1 Data Sheet discloses in figure 1 and respective portion of the specification of a controller having a processor that communicates via the internal bus with a memory and with the I/O port. The memory holds a control program for the controlled process, an operating system, and programming for execution. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include the teachings of communication within the controller via an internal bus as taught by FC-1 Data Sheet into Jundt's invention in order to make communication within devices/circuits of the controller executable.

Referring to claims 8, 22 and 26, FC-1 Data Sheet discloses in figure 1 of a second CAN control block coupled to the internal bus as claim.

Referring to claims 10, 11, and 15, FC-1 discloses wherein the CAN bus controller comprises two or more asynchronous serial data communication circuits [Page 1, figure 1 of FC-1; tow asynchronous RS232/422/485 serial channels] as claim.

Referring to claim 12, Jundt discloses in figure 1 and in column 3, lines 56 to column 4, lines 66 of the processor, the first communication circuit, the second communication circuit and the Ethernet bus controller. Jundt fails to explicitly disclose of an internal communication bus coupled to the processor, the first communication circuit, the second communication circuit and the Ethernet bus controller. FC-1 Data Sheet discloses in figure 1 and respective portion of the

specification of a controller having a processor that communicates via the internal bus with a memory and with the I/O port. The memory holds a control program for the controlled process, an operating system, and programming for execution. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include the teachings of communication within the controller via an internal bus as taught by FC-1 Data Sheet into Jundt's invention in order to make communication within devices/circuits of the controller executable.

Referring to claim 13, FC-1 discloses in figure 1 of further comprising a Serial Peripheral Interconnect (SPI) bus controller as claim.

Referring to claim 14, FC-1 discloses in figure 1 of further comprising wherein the memory circuit comprises a boot read only memory (2048 Bytes) and read-write memory (SRAM) as claim.

Referring to claim 20, FC-1 discloses in figure 1 and respective portions of the specification of further comprising: an interface means for serial communication (SPI Interconnect Interface) with an external data source for loading at least a portion of the memory means upon initialization of the data communication device as claim.

Referring to claims 23 and 27, FC-1 Data Sheet discloses in figure 1 of an Ethernet control block coupled to the internal bus as claim.

Referring to claims 25, Jundt discloses wherein the second circuit comprises the CAN bus controller having a logic circuit configured to receive and transmit data according to the CAN standard [see figure 1, column 4, lines 5-66] as claim.

Referring to claim 28, FC-1 discloses in figure 1 wherein the processor comprises a serial communication port (Serial Peripheral Interconnect) for external data communication as claim.

Referring to claim 29, FC-1 discloses in figure 1 of further comprising: program code stored in a first portion of the memory (SRAM) and executable by the processor for controlling loading of data and instructions from an external data source by the serial communication port (SPI) to a second portion of memory (ROM) as claim.

Referring to claim 30-31, Jundt discloses in figure 1 and column 3, lines 56 to column 4, lines 66 of a communication controller 12, fabricated on an integrated circuit, for communication between at least two devices (one of workstations 14 and field device 18), comprising: a plurality of interface circuits comprising:

- an Ethernet Interface circuit for communication using Ethernet communication standard [see figure 1 and column 3, lines 56 to column 4, lines 4];

- a Controller Area Network interface circuit for communication using a Controller Area Network communication standard [see figure 1 and column 4, lines 41 to 66];

- a processor [as disclosed in column 4, lines 41-45] for controlling the communication between the communication controller 12 and a first device 14 using a first interface circuit [Ethernet as disclosed in column 3, lines 56 to column 4, lines 4] of the plurality of interface circuits and between the communication controller 12 and a second device 18 [I/O cards 22 using any desired protocol or format such as HART, PROFIBUS, WORLDFIP, DeviceNet and CAN as disclosed in column 4, lines 41 to 66 using a second interface circuit of the plurality of interface circuits, wherein the first circuit [Ethernet] is different from the second interface circuit [any desired protocol or format such as HART, PROFIBUS, WORLDFIP, DeviceNet and CAN] as claim. Jundt discloses of a controller 12 having memory for storing operating instructions for

execution by processor in column 4, lines 41-45 but fails to explicitly disclose of the memory comprising volatile and non-volatile memory. Jundt further fails to disclose of having an option to select a Serial Peripheral Interface Circuit for communication using a SPI communication standard among the other desired formats or protocols. FC-1 Data Sheet discloses in figure 1 of having a memory comprising Boot ROM and SRAM having the functionality of volatile and non-volatile memory and further discloses in figure 1 of a Serial Peripheral Interconnect among other desired formats and/or protocols for communication within a controller. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art to modify the teachings of Jundt to include the features of SPI, ROM and SRAM in order to allow for maximum microprocessor performance and to support communication via asynchronous serial channels.

Referring to claims 32-33, Jundt discloses in figure 1 and column 3, lines 56 to column 4, lines 66 a communication controller 12, fabricated on an integrated circuit, for communication between at least two devices (one of workstations 14 and field device 18), comprising:

a plurality of interface circuits selected from a group consisting of an Ethernet Interface circuit for communication using Ethernet communication standard; a Controller Area Network interface circuit for communication using a Controller Area Network communication standard; and a field bus interface circuit for communication using a fieldbus communication standard, wherein at least two of the interface circuits are different [the controller 12 communicates with the field devices 18 via any desired or standard I/O Cards 22, the I/O cards 22 may be analog I/O cards that connect the controller 12 to the field devices 18 may be digital or combined digital and analog and analog I/O cards that communicate using any desired format or protocols as disclosed

Art Unit: 2664

in column 4, lines 41 to column 5, lines 18, format such as HART, PROFIBUS, WORLDFIP, DeviceNet and CAN; as disclosed in figure 1, claim 1, and column 4, lines 5 to column 5, lines 18];

a processor [as disclosed in column 4, lines 41-5] for controlling the communication between the communication controller 12 and a first device 14 using a first interface circuit [Ethernet] the plurality of interface circuits and between the communication controller 12 and a second device 18 using a second interface circuit of the plurality of interface circuits, wherein the first circuit is different from the second interface circuit [I/O cards 22 using any desired protocol or format such as HART, PROFIBUS, WORLDFIP, DeviceNet and CAN as disclosed in column 4, lines 41 to 66 using a second interface circuit of the plurality of interface circuits, wherein the first circuit [Ethernet] is different from the second interface circuit [any desired protocol or format such as HART, PROFIBUS, WORLDFIP, DeviceNet and CAN] as claim] and

a processor for controlling the communication between the communication controller 12 and a first device 14 using the Ethernet interface circuit [as disclosed in column 3, lines 56 to column 4, lines 4] and between the communication controller 12 and a second device 18 using the fieldbus interface circuit [as disclosed in column 4, lines 41-66].

Jundt discloses of a controller 12 having memory for storing operating instructions for execution by processor in column 4, lines 41-45 but fails to explicitly disclose of the memory comprising volatile and non-volatile memory. Jundt further fails to disclose of having an option to select a Serial Peripheral Interface Circuit for communication using a SPI communication standard among the other desired formats or protocols. FC-1 Data Sheet discloses in figure 1 of having a memory comprising Boot ROM and SRAM having the functionality of volatile and

Art Unit: 2664

non-volatile memory and further discloses in figure 1 of a Serial Peripheral Interconnect among other desired formats and/or protocols for communication within a controller. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art to modify the teachings of Jundt to include the features of SPI, ROM and SRAM in order to allow for maximum microprocessor performance and to support communication via asynchronous serial channels.

Referring to claim 34, Jundt discloses in figure 1 of communication controller 12 for communication between at least two devices (14 and 18), comprising:

a processor [as disclosed in column 4, lines 41-45], and

a memory [as disclosed in column 4, lines 41-45] for storing operating instructions for execution by the processor to control communication using a plurality of communication standards selected from the group consisting of an Ethernet communication standard [as disclosed in column 3, lines 56 to column 4, lines 4], a controller area network communication standard, and a fieldbus communication standard [as disclosed in column 4, lines 5 to 66] ,

wherein the processor controls communication between the communication controller 12 and a first device 14 using a first communication standard [Ethernet as disclosed in column 3, lines 56 to column 4, lines 4] of the plurality of communication standards and between the communication controller 12 and a second device 18 using a second communication standard of the plurality of communication standards, where the first communication standard is different from the second communication standard [I/O cards 22 using any desired protocol or format such as HART, PROFIBUS, WORLDVIEW, DeviceNet and CAN as disclosed in column 4, lines 41 to 66 using a second interface circuit of the plurality of interface circuits, wherein the first circuit

Art Unit: 2664

[Ethernet] is different from the second interface circuit [any desired protocol or format such as HART, PROFIBUS, WORLDVIEW, DeviceNet and CAN] as claim].

Jundt fails to disclose of having an option to select a Serial Peripheral Interface Circuit for communication using a SPI communication standard among the other desired formats or protocols. FC-1 Data Sheet discloses in figure 1 of having a memory comprising Boot ROM and SRAM having the functionality of volatile and non-volatile memory and further discloses in figure 1 of a Serial Peripheral Interconnect among other desired formats and/or protocols for communication within a controller. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art to modify the teachings of Jundt to include the feature of SPI in order to support communication via asynchronous serial channels.

### ***Conclusion***

**Any response to this action should be mailed to:**

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Washington, D.C. 20231

**Or faxed to:**

(703)305-3988, (for formal communications intended for entry)

**Or:**

(703)305-3988 (for informal or draft communications, please label "Proposed" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2021 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chirag G Shah whose telephone number is 703-305-5639. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wellington Chin can be reached on 703-305-4366. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

cgs  
August 19, 2004

  
**Ajit Patel**  
**Primary Examiner**